

Uniqueness of holomorphic Abel functions at a complex fixed point pair

HENRYK TRAPPMANN AND DIMITRII KOUZNETSOV

Abstract. We give a simple uniqueness criterion (and some derived criteria) for holomorphic Abel functions and show that Kneser’s real analytic Abel function of the exponential is subject to this criterion.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000). Primary 30D05.

Keywords. Abel function, Abel equation, exponential function, fractional iterates, holomorphic solution, real analytic.

1. Introduction

There is a lot of discussion about the “true” or “best” fractional iterates of the function e^x in the (lay-)mathematical community. In 1949 Kneser [4] proved the existence of real analytic fractional iterates. However, Szekeres (a pioneer in developing the theory of fractional iteration [9]) states in 1961 [10]:

“The solution of Kneser does not really solve the problem of ‘best’ fractional iterates of e^x . Quite apart from practical difficulties involved in the calculation of Kneser’s function on the real axis, there is no indication whatsoever that the function will grow more regularly to infinity than any other solution. There is certainly no uniqueness attached to the solution; in fact if $g(x)$ is a real analytic function with period 1 and $g'(x) + 1 > 0$ (e.g. $g(x) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \sin(2\pi x)$) then $B^*(x) = B(x) + g(B(x))$ is also an analytic Abel function of e^x which in general yields a different solution of the equation”.

A recent discussion with Prof. Jean Écalle supports the impression that no uniqueness criterion was found until today and that there is even evidence against the existence of a criterion concerned with the growth-scale or asymptotic behavior at infinity.

By turning our attention from the purely real analytic behavior of the Abel function to the behavior in the complex plane we can succeed in giving a simple uniqueness criterion for the Abel functions of a whole class of real analytic (or arbitrary holomorphic) functions with two complex fixed points.

We show the usefulness of the criterion by providing an Abel function that satisfies the criterion. This is the above mentioned one, constructed by Kneser, the Abel function of e^x (which can be easily generalized to functions b^x with $b > e^{1/e}$).

We also have a suggestion for the numerical computation of this Abel function and the corresponding fractional iterates of e^x (also of b^x for $b > e^{1/e}$ in generalizations) by a method developed in [5]. Several other methods for the numerical computation of holomorphic fractional iterates of e^x or the holomorphic Abel function have emerged in the past years (for example one is given in [12]). A future research goal would be to put them on a thorough theoretic base (proving convergence and holomorphy) and to verify the here given uniqueness criterion.

2. Motivation

Our original motivation was the investigation of a fourth stage of operations after the third stage containing power, exponential and logarithm.

Various terms for such operations were used in the past like: “generalized exponential” and “generalized logarithm” by Walker [11], “ultra exponential” and “infra logarithm” by Hooshmand [3], “super-exponential” by Bromer [1], tetration and superlogarithm [5]. In this paper we give them the more succinct names “4-exponential” and “4-logarithm”.

Definition 1. (4-exponential) A *4-exponential* to base $b > 0$ is a function f that satisfies

$$f(0) = 1 \tag{1}$$

$$f(z + 1) = \exp_b(f(z)) \tag{2}$$

for all applicable z .

For any \tilde{f} that only satisfies (2) and contains 1 in its codomain: $\tilde{f}(z_0) = 1$, the function $f(z) = \tilde{f}(z + z_0)$ is a 4-exponential.

Definition 2. (4-logarithm) A *4-logarithm* to base $b > 0$ is a function g that satisfies the Abel equation (4) (see [7]) (for all applicable z) with the following initial condition:

$$g(1) = 0 \tag{3}$$

$$g(\exp_b(z)) = g(z) + 1. \tag{4}$$

For any \tilde{g} that only satisfies (4) and has 1 in its domain of definition, the function $g(z) = \tilde{g}(z) - \tilde{g}(1)$ is a 4-logarithm. Here we set, as usual, $\exp_b(z) = b^z = \exp(\ln(b)z)$. The inverse of a 4-exponential (if it exists) is a 4-logarithm and vice versa.

On positive integer arguments $z = n$ any 4-exponential f is already determined to be just the n -times application of \exp_b to 1.

$$f(n) = \exp_b^{\circ n}(1) = \underbrace{b^{\dots^b}}_{n \times b} \tag{5}$$

The question, however, is how to extend properly the real and analytic function to non-integer arguments.

The existence of a real analytic strictly increasing 4-logarithm was proven by Kneser [4]. A non-analytic solution with a uniqueness criterion was given by Hooshmand [3]. A numerical method to compute the real coefficients of the powerseries development at 0 of a 4-logarithm was given (though without proof of convergence) by Walker [12]. Another numerical method to compute a real analytic 4-exponential via Cauchy integrals (though also without proof of convergence proof) was given by Kouznetsov [5].

A real analytic 4-exponential is expected to have a singularity or branch-point at integers ≤ -2 at least on some branch, because from $f(z + 1) = \exp_b(f(z))$ it follows that $f(z - 1) = \log_b(f(z))$ and by $f(0) = 1$ we have $f(-1) = 0$ and $f(-2) = \log_b(0)$. To exclude branching we restrict 4-exponentials to

$$C_{-2} = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x \leq -2\} \tag{6}$$

It is a conjecture of the authors that holomorphy on the domain C_{-2} together with $f(z^*) = f(z)^*$ (complex conjugation) on C_{-2} implies the uniqueness of the 4-exponential f .

From considerations about the uniqueness of 4-logarithms/4-exponentials the following general uniqueness criterion for Abel functions with two complex fixed points emerged.

3. The uniqueness criterion

Before we start we mention some *conventions* we use: usually, curves here are regarded as continuous maps on the open interval $(-1, 1)$. If we, however, use a curve in a set context then we refer to the image of the curve, e.g. $\gamma_1 \cup \gamma_2 = \gamma_1((-1, 1)) \cup \gamma_2((-1, 1))$. The disjoint union $C = A \uplus B$ means here that $C = A \cup B$ and $A \cap B = \emptyset$. The sum $A + z$ of a region $A \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ and a number $z \in \mathbb{C}$ is defined as the region $\{a + z : a \in A\}$. A function being holomorphic on a non-open set means that there is a neighborhood of each point of the set where the function is holomorphic. $\log_b(z) = \log(z)/\log(b)$ means the principal branch $-\pi < \Im(\log(z)) \leq \pi$ of the logarithm, if not stated

otherwise. “Continuable”, “continuation” and “continue” always refer to *analytic* continuation.

Definition 3. (Abel function, initial curve/region) We call a function α , holomorphic on D , an *Abel function of F* iff it satisfies the Abel equation

$$\alpha(F(z)) = \alpha(z) + 1 \quad (7)$$

for all $z \in D \cap F^{-1}(D)$. F is sometimes called the *base function*.

A curve $\gamma: (-1, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ on which F is holomorphic is called an *initial curve of F* iff γ and $F \circ \gamma$ are injective and disjoint and $\gamma(-1) \neq \gamma(1)$ are two fixed points of F . (To be explicit define $\gamma(\pm 1) := \lim_{t \rightarrow \pm 1} \gamma(t)$.)

Under these conditions $\gamma \cup (F \circ \gamma) \cup \{\gamma(-1), \gamma(1)\}$ is a closed Jordan curve. We call its inner (bounded) component C joined with γ and $F \circ \gamma$ the *initial region of γ* denoted by $I_F(\gamma) := \gamma \uplus C \uplus F(\gamma) = \overline{C} \setminus \{\gamma(-1), \gamma(1)\}$.

As a side remark: the following theorem has a slight similarity to the criterion given in [2]. Both criteria include injectivity. However, the criterion given in [2] applies to a function with one parabolic fixed point (moreover, a self-map of the unit disk of hyperbolic step zero), while the criterion here applies to a function with two fixed points (which can not occur for a holomorphic self-map of some simply connected region).

Theorem 1. *Let γ be an initial curve of F , let H be its initial region and $d \in H$. There is at most one function α satisfying Criterion 1.*

Criterion 1. The function α is an injective Abel function of F that is holomorphic on H , $\alpha(d) = 0$ and $\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (\alpha(H) + k) = \mathbb{C}$.

Proof. Assume there are two such Abel functions $\alpha_1: H \leftrightarrow T_1$ and $\alpha_2: H \leftrightarrow T_2$ holomorphic and injective on H . For the rest of this proof we write α_j when referring to α_1 as well as to α_2 . The inverse function $\alpha_j^{-1}: T_j \leftrightarrow H$ satisfies:

$$\alpha_j^{-1}(z + 1) = F(\alpha_j^{-1}(z))$$

for all z such that $z, z + 1 \in T_j$. So we have two biholomorphic functions

$$q_1 := \alpha_2 \circ \alpha_1^{-1}: T_1 \leftrightarrow T_2 \quad q_2 := \alpha_1 \circ \alpha_2^{-1}: T_2 \leftrightarrow T_1$$

with the property

$$\begin{aligned} q_1(z + 1) &= \alpha_2(\alpha_1^{-1}(z + 1)) = \alpha_2(F(\alpha_1^{-1}(z))) \\ &= \alpha_2(\alpha_1^{-1}(z)) + 1 = q_1(z) + 1 \end{aligned}$$

for each z with $z, z + 1 \in T_1$; and generally

$$q_j(z + 1) = q_j(z) + 1 \quad (8)$$

for each z with $z, z + 1 \in T_j$.

We define $q_{j,k}: T_j + k \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by $q_{j,k}(z + k) = q_j(z) + k$. By our property $q_j(z + 1) = q_j(z) + 1$ the function $q_{j,k}$ and $q_{j,k+1}$ coincide on the intersection

$(T_j + k) \cap (T_j + k + 1)$ which contains the curve $\alpha \circ \gamma + k + 1$. In conclusion q_j can be continued to the whole complex plane. So lets consider q_j to be an entire function.

For $z \in T_1$ we have $q_1(z) = q_2^{-1}(z)$. But the only entire functions that have an entire inverse are linear functions. By the values $q_1(0) = 0$ and $q_1(1) = 1$ it can only be the identity. So $\alpha_2(\alpha_1^{-1}(z)) = z$ for $z \in T_1$ and hence $\alpha_2 = \alpha_1$ on H . □

Now one may argue that the Abel function may depend on the initial region. This is not the case as is shown by the next theorem.

Theorem 2. *Let D be a connected set with $d \in D$ and let F be holomorphic on D , there can be at most one Abel function α of F , holomorphic on D , with the property that $\alpha'(d) \neq 0$ and that α satisfies Criterion 1 on some initial region $H \subset D$.*

Proof. Assume that there were two Abel functions α_1 and α_2 such that each α_j is holomorphic on D and satisfies Criterion 1 on the initial region H_j . We follow the proof of Theorem 1, listing only the modifications.

We consider biholomorphic $\alpha_j: H_j \leftrightarrow T_j$ and holomorphic $q_j: T_j \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and achieve (8). In conclusion each q_j is entire. The function $z \mapsto q_1(\alpha_1(z)) - \alpha_2(z)$ is holomorphic on D . It is constantly 0 on H_1 and hence on D . That's why $q_1(0) = q_1(\alpha_1(d)) = \alpha_2(d) = 0$. Vice versa $q_2(0) = 0$.

There is a neighborhood V of d where α_1 and α_2 are injective. Hence α_j^{-1} , $q_1 = \alpha_2 \circ \alpha_1^{-1}$ and $q_2 = \alpha_1 \circ \alpha_2^{-1}$ are injective on $V' = \alpha_1(V) \cap \alpha_2(V) \ni 0$. But then $q_1 = q_2^{-1}$ on $V' \cap q_2(V')$. □

Now we want to make the criterion $\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (\alpha(H) + k) = \mathbb{C}$ a bit more accessible and show that it is a consequence of $\lim_{t \rightarrow \pm 1} \Im(\alpha(\gamma(t))) = \pm\infty$. Before we start with the actual proof, we need a little insight into how curves divide the complex plane. We know by the Jordan curve theorem that each simple closed curve divides the sphere into two simply connected components. Considering the sphere $\mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ we know that each injective curve $\zeta: (-1, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with $\lim_{t \rightarrow \pm 1} \zeta(t) = \infty$ divides the complex plane into two parts; where ∞ is the complex infinity and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \pm 1} \zeta(t) = \infty$ means that for each $r > 0$ there is a t_1 and t_0 such that $|\zeta(t)| > r$ for all $t > t_1$ and all $t < t_0$.

A particular subclass of such curves are the injective curves ζ with $\lim_{t \rightarrow \pm 1} \Im(\zeta(t)) = \pm\infty$. Here ∞ is the real infinity and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \pm 1} \Im(\zeta(t)) = \pm\infty$ means that for each $u > 0$ there are $t_0, t_1 \in (-1, 1)$ such that $\Im(\zeta(t)) > u$ for all $t > t_1$ and $\Im(\zeta(t)) < -u$ for all $t < t_0$.

Definition 4. (left/right component/ray) For an injective curve $\zeta: (-1, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ we call a component *left* (resp. *right*) if it contains a left (resp. right) ray, where a left (resp. right) ray is a set of the form $\{z_0 \mp x: x > 0\}$ for some $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$.

The following lemma shows that these properties indeed behave as expected.

Lemma 3. (*L, R*) Let $\zeta: (-1, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be an injective curve with $\lim_{t \rightarrow \pm 1} \Im(\zeta(t)) = \pm\infty$ and let P and Q be the two components the plane is divided into; $\mathbb{C} = P \uplus \zeta \uplus Q$.

- (1) Then either P is left and Q is right or vice versa. We denote the left (resp. right) component with $L(\zeta)$ (resp. $R(\zeta)$). Moreover, there exist left (resp. right) rays contained in the left (resp. right) component for each prescribed imaginary part y .
- (2) If $\zeta + d$ is disjoint from ζ then $\overline{R}(\zeta + d) \subset R(\zeta)$ in the case $d > 0$ and $\overline{L}(\zeta + d) \subset L(\zeta)$ in the case $d < 0$. Here the overline means the closure of the component (it is equal to the union of the component with ζ).

Proof. For part (1) of the lemma we show that for each prescribed imaginary part y there exists a left ray contained in one component and a right ray contained in the other component. We show further that the union of all left (resp. right) rays such that each is contained in either P or Q must be contained in either P or Q , which ensures the “either” in part (1).

We consider the indices of the intersection of the horizontal line $Y = \{x + iy: x \in \mathbb{R}\}$ with the curve ζ , $T = \{t: \zeta(t) \in Y\}$. Now let $t_0 = \inf(T)$ and $t_1 = \sup(T)$; neither can $t_0 = -1$ nor $t_1 = 1$ because in this case there would be a sequence of $t \rightarrow \pm 1$ such that $\Im(\zeta(t)) = y$ in contradiction to $\Im(\zeta(t)) \rightarrow \pm\infty$.

Hence $-1 < t_0 \leq t_1 < 1$ and $\Im(\zeta(t)) > y$ for all $t > t_1$ and $\Im(\zeta(t)) < y$ for all $t < t_0$. Let $x_0 = \Re(\zeta(t_0))$ and $x_1 = \Re(\zeta(t_1))$ then it is clear that $Y_0 := \{x + iy: x < x_0\}$ is completely contained in a component as well as $Y_1 := \{x + iy: x > x_1\}$ is completely contained in a component. The compound $Y_0 \cup \zeta([x_0, x_1]) \cup Y_1$ divides the plane into an upper half H_1 which is divided by $\zeta((x_1, 1))$ and a lower part H_0 which is divided by $\zeta((-1, x_0))$.

If there is a path $\beta: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ that connects Y_0 with Y_1 , i.e. $\beta(0) \in Y_0$ and $\beta(1) \in Y_1$ then we choose $s_0 = \beta^{-1}(\sup(\Re(\beta \cap Y_0)) + iy)$ and $s_1 = \beta^{-1}(\inf(\Re(\beta \cap Y_1)) + iy)$. The restriction of β to the non-empty interval (s_0, s_1) still connects Y_0 to Y_1 but intersects neither Y_0 nor Y_1 . As the path is not allowed to intersect $\zeta([t_0, t_1])$ it must be contained either in H_0 or in H_1 but then it would intersect $\zeta((-1, x_0))$ or $\zeta((x_1, 1))$.

If we have two left rays with the imaginary parts y_1 and y_2 then we can do the above construction of $t_0(y)$ for $y = y_1, y_2$. Without restriction let $t_0(y_1) < t_0(y_2)$, then $\zeta([t_0(y_1), t_0(y_2)])$ has a minimum of the real part and we can connect both left rays by a vertical line with a smaller real part.

Now to part 2: we consider $d > 0$. First it is easy to see that one point of $\zeta + d$ lies in $R(\zeta)$ and hence the whole $\zeta + d$ is contained in $R(\zeta)$. Vice versa ζ must be contained in $L(\zeta + d)$. So ζ does not intersect $R(\zeta + d)$ and we conclude that $R(\zeta + d)$ must be either contained in $L(\zeta)$ or in $R(\zeta)$. But $R(\zeta)$ has common points with $R(\zeta + d)$ as a right ray Y is contained in $R(\zeta)$ and the ray $Y + d$ is contained in $R(\zeta + d)$. \square

Criterion 2. Under the preconditions of Theorem 1, and γ being rectifiable, the following criterion implies Criterion 1:

The curve $\zeta = \alpha \circ \gamma$ is injective, $\zeta \cap (\zeta + 1) = \emptyset$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \pm 1} \Im(\zeta(t)) = \pm\infty$; where α is an Abel function of F that is holomorphic on H with $\alpha(d) = 0$.

Proof. The first two conditions of the criterion state that α is injective on $\gamma \cup (F \circ \gamma)$ because $\alpha \circ F \circ \gamma = \zeta + 1$ by (7). By a theorem about univalent functions (see [8] Theorem 4.8) the injectiveness of α on the rectifiable boundary with finitely many exceptions (e.g. $\gamma(-1)$ and $\gamma(1)$) implies the injectiveness on the enclosed region (including its boundary) which is H .

Now we show that $\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (\alpha(H) + k) = \mathbb{C}$: The image $\alpha(H)$ is bounded by ζ and $\zeta + 1$ and it must be simply connected, that's why

$$\alpha(H) = \overline{R}(\zeta) \cap \overline{L}(\zeta + 1)$$

If we now unite consecutive pieces $\alpha(H) + k, k \in \mathbb{Z}$, we get with $\overline{R}(\zeta - 1) \supset \overline{R}(\zeta)$ and $\overline{L}(\zeta) \subset \overline{L}(\zeta + 1)$ from Lemma 3 that:

$$\bigcup_{k=k_0}^{k_1} (\alpha(H) + k) = \overline{R}(\zeta + k_0) \cap \overline{L}(\zeta + 1 + k_1)$$

By part (1) of Lemma 3 we also know that for each $z = x + iy$ there is a (negative) $k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $z \in R(\zeta + k_0)$ (right ray with starting point $x - k_0 + iy$ is contained in $R(\zeta)$) and a (positive) $k_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $z \in L(\zeta + k_1)$ (left ray with starting point $x - k_1 + iy$ is contained in $L(\zeta)$). So $\alpha(H)$ translated by all integers cover the whole complex plane:

$$\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (\alpha(H) + k) = \mathbb{C} \tag{9}$$

□

Criterion 3. Under the preconditions of Theorem 1 the following criterion implies Criterion 2:

The real function $f(t) = \Im(\alpha(\gamma(t)))$ is strictly increasing and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \pm 1} f(t) = \pm\infty$; where α is an Abel function of F that is holomorphic on H with $\alpha(d) = 0$.

Proof. First it is clear that $\zeta := \alpha \circ \gamma$ is injective as no imaginary value can be taken twice. Further, the correlation μ given by $\Im(\zeta(t)) \mapsto \Re(\zeta(t)), t \in (-1, 1)$, is a well-defined function on \mathbb{R} . Then $\mu + 1 > \mu$ is a function not intersecting μ , and hence $\zeta + 1$ does not intersect ζ . □

4. Application to Kneser's construction

In this section we apply the uniqueness Criterion 1 to the 4-logarithm (i.e. Abel function of \exp_b) Ψ as constructed by Kneser [4].




FIGURE 1. Contours $\log_b(\ell)$, ℓ and b^ℓ in the complex z -plane, for base $b = e$

Related to Kneser’s construction we use the choice

$$H = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \Re(z) \geq \Re(L), |z| \leq |L|\} \setminus \{L, L^*\} \tag{10}$$

as initial region which is depicted in Fig. 1 for $b = e$ (which is the only base that Kneser considered). Here L is the fixed point of \log_b in the upper half plane. The straight line

$$\ell(t) = \Re(L) + i\Im(L)t, \quad -1 < t < 1, \tag{11}$$

between L and its complex conjugate L^* is the left boundary of H and b^ℓ is the right boundary of H .

Lemma 4. *If $b > e^{1/e}$ then ℓ in (11) is an initial curve and hence H is an initial region of \exp_b .*

Proof. We show that b^ℓ is injective and does not intersect ℓ . By $b^L = L$ we know that $b^{\Re(L)} = |b^L| = |L|$ and hence

$$b^{\ell(t)} = b^{\Re(L) + i\Im(L)t} = |L|e^{i\Im(L)\ln(b)t}$$

which is an arc with radius $|L|$ centered in 0 (shown with a dashed line) starting at angle $-\Im(L)\ln(b)$ and ending at angle $\Im(L)\ln(b)$. This is true for any non-real conjugated fixed point pair of \exp_b . For $b > e^{1/e}$ there are no real fixed points of \exp_b and the fixed point pair of \log_b , which is the one closest to the real axis, has $\pm\Im(L) \in (-\pi/\ln(b), \pi/\ln(b))$. This assures that b^ℓ does not overlap itself, i.e. it is injective. \square

Let us—without proof—enumerate some counterexamples of initial curves: $\log_b \circ \ell$ is initial for $e^{1/e} < b < e^{\pi/2}$ but ℓ has zero or negative real part for $b \geq e^{\pi/2}$ and is hence no more contained in the default domain of the logarithm. The curve b^ℓ is initial for $b = e$ but there are bigger bases where b^{b^ℓ} intersects itself. Each of e^ℓ , e^{e^ℓ} , $e^{e^{e^\ell}}$ is injective but $e^{e^{e^{e^\ell}}}$ is not, so the first




FIGURE 2. 4-Exponential $ksexp_b$ to base $b = e$ (*thick solid*) and $b = 2$ (*dashed*) on the real axis

two are initial for \exp . The straight line connecting any other conjugated fixed point pair is not initial because the image under \exp_b is a circle with radius $|L|$ (winding at least once around 0).

To get familiar with Kneser’s construction we recapitulate the main steps he does in [4] with a slight generalization to bases $b > e^{1/e}$. He starts with the Kœnigs function χ of \exp_b at the fixed point L and shows that it can be continued to nearly the whole upper half plane $\mathfrak{H} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \Re(z) \geq 0\} \setminus \{0, 1, b, b^b, b^{b^b}, \dots\}$. He also shows that it is injective on \mathfrak{H} because its inverse can be continued to an entire function.

Particularly χ is injective on the “half” initial region

$$\mathfrak{H}_0 = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \Re(z) \geq \Re(L), \Im(z) \geq 0, |z| \leq |L|\} \setminus \{L, 1\}.$$

Note that Kneser initially works with \mathfrak{H}_0 containing L , while he later switches to consider \mathfrak{H}_0 without L which is the definition we use above. \mathfrak{H}_0 is related to our initial region H via $\mathfrak{H}_0 \cup \mathfrak{H}_0^* = H \setminus \{1\}$.

The Kœnigs function satisfies the Schröder equation $\chi(b^z) = c\chi(z)$ on $z, b^z \in \mathfrak{H}$ where $c = \exp'_b(L) = \log(L)$. Next he sets $\psi(z) = \log(\chi(z))$ for a suitable region of the logarithm which has the cut outside $\chi(\mathfrak{H}_0)$. It satisfies $\psi(b^z) = \psi(z) + \log(c)$ on $z \in \mathfrak{H}_0$. Last, he conformally maps the union of




FIGURE 3. Contour plot of the function $k\text{sexp}_e(z)$ showing lines of constant modulus and of constant phase. The shaded (yellow) region is $ks\log_e(H)$. Its right boundary is $ks\log_e(e^L)$ which corresponds to $|k\text{sexp}_e(z)| = |L|$ (color figure online)

$\psi(\mathfrak{H}_0) + k \cdot \log(c)$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, via the Riemann mapping theorem to the upper halfplane, say with the conformal map ϱ .

The resulting function $\Psi = \varrho \circ \log \circ \chi$ is at least defined on \mathfrak{H}_0 and satisfies $\Psi(b^z) = \Psi(z) + 1$ on \mathfrak{H}_0 . It can even be continued to $z = 1$. It is real analytic on $\mathbb{R} \cap \mathfrak{H}_0$ and can hence be analytically continued to the conjugate region \mathfrak{H}_0^* (and also to the whole real line).

From his construction the following things are important for us. First: Ψ is injective and holomorphic on $\mathfrak{H}_0 \cup \{1\}$ and the image is contained in the upper halfplane. Hence the conjugate continuation to H is also injective and holomorphic. Second: the regions by integer translated by an integer cover regions $\Psi(\mathfrak{H}_0 \cup \{1\})$ cover the whole upper halfplane and hence the regions by integer translated by an integer cover the whole complex plane.

The application of Theorem 1 gives:

Theorem 5. *The real analytic 4/logarithm $\alpha = \Psi$ constructed by Kneser [4] and each generalization to base $b > e^{1/e}$ satisfies Criterion 1 on H given in (10) (where $d = 1$ and $F(z) = b^z$).*




FIGURE 4. Function $y = \exp^{oc}(x)$ calculated by equation (12) for $c = 0, \pm 0.1, \pm 0.5, \pm 0.9, \pm 1, \pm 2$ versus x

5. About computation of the 4-logarithm/4-exponential

As Kneser uses the Riemann mapping theorem in his construction, it is very difficult to approach computationally. Instead, we use here a rather fast numerical method given in [5] utilizing the Cauchy integral formula to compute a 4-exponential, which we denote by ksexp_b and its inverse with kslog_b . This method is originally described for $b = e$ but can be extended to arbitrary bases $b > e^{1/e}$. Unfortunately, it lacks a proof of convergence (but if it converges then kslog_b satisfies the Abel equation and is holomorphic). It is the conjecture of the authors that this method does converge and that it satisfies the uniqueness Criterion 3.

For real values of the argument, this 4-exponential is plotted in Fig. 2 for $b = e, 2$. The plot of kslog_e in the complex plane (depicted in Fig. 3) hints towards Criterion 3.

5.1. The fractional iterates of the exponential

The combination of ksexp and kslog allows us to define fractional/continuum iterative powers of the exponential via (see, e.g. [9] or [7]):

$$\exp_b^{oc}(z) = \text{ksexp}_b(c + \text{kslog}_b(z)) \tag{12}$$

Unlike regular iterates at a hyperbolic fixed point which are analytic at the fixed point, the above iterates of \exp_b have a branch point at both complex fixed points. Care must be taken to determine a principal branch/region/cut of

kslog_b which is then also the principal region of the iterative power. It should be chosen such that $c + \text{kslog}_b(z) \in C_{-2}$. The map of the function $\exp^{o.5}$ in the complex plane is plotted in ref. [6], showing a behavior similar to the iterative square root of the factorial $\sqrt{\!|}$ computed in [6].

For several *real* c we plot $\exp^{oc}(x)$ versus x in Fig. 4. For $c = 1$ it is indeed the usual exponential, for $c = 0$ it is the identity function, and for $c = -1$ it is the logarithm.

References

- [1] Bromer, N.: Superexponentiation. *Math. Mag.* **60**(3), 169–174 (1987)
- [2] Contreras, M.D., Madrigal, S.D., Pommerenke, C.: Some remarks on the Abel equation in the unit disk. *J. Lond. Math. Soc. II. Ser.* **75**(3), 623–634 (2007)
- [3] Hooshmand, M.H.: Ultra power and ultra exponential functions. *Integral Transforms Spec. Funct.* **17**(8), 549–558 (2006)
- [4] Kneser, H.: Reelle analytische Lösungen der Gleichung $\varphi(\varphi(x)) = e^x$ und verwandter Funktionalgleichungen. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **187**, 56–67 (1949)
- [5] Kouznetsov, D.: Solution of $f(x+1) = \exp(f(x))$ in complex z -plane. *Math. Comput.* **78**, 1647–1670 (2009)
- [6] Kouznetsov, D., Trappmann, H.: Superfunctions and square root of factorial. *Mosc. Univ. Phys. Bull.* **65**(1), 6–12 (2010)
- [7] Kuczma, M., Choczewski, B., Ger, R.: *Iterative Functional Equations*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1990)
- [8] Markushevich, A.I.: *Theory of Functions of a Complex Variable*. Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs (1965)
- [9] Szekeres, G.: Regular iteration of real and complex functions. *Acta Math.* **100**, 203–258 (1958)
- [10] Szekeres, G.: Fractional iteration of exponentially growing functions. *J. Aust. Math. Soc.* **2**, 301–320 (1961)
- [11] Walker, P.L.: Infinitely differentiable generalized logarithmic and exponential functions. *Math. Comput.* **57**(196), 723–733 (1991)
- [12] Walker, P.L.: On the solutions of an Abelian functional equation. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **155**(1), 93–110 (1991)

Henryk Trappmann
 Kameruner Str. 9
 13351 Berlin
 Germany
 e-mail: henryk@pool.math.tu-berlin.de

Dimitrii Kouznetsov
 Institute for Laser Science
 University of Electro-Communications
 1-5-1 Chofugaoka
 Chofushi
 Tokyo 182-8585
 Japan
 e-mail: dima@uls.uec.ac.jp

Received: December 4, 2009

Revised: April 22, 2010